UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,735	03/13/2007	Michael Powers	VEC-138-B (RUS0143)	5019
JULIA CHURCH DIERKER DIERKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 3331 W. BIG BEAVER RD. SUITE 109 TROY, MI 48084-2813			EXAMINER	
			ROSATI, BRANDON MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) POWERS ET AL. 10/582,735 **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit** BRANDON M. ROSATI 3744 **All Participants:** Status of Application: After Final Amendment (1) BRANDON M. ROSATI. (3) _____. (2) Julia Dierker. (4) _____. Time: 2:15 pm Date of Interview: 6 April 2010 Type of Interview: Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2nd Rejection for claims 10-12 and 14, specifically claim 10 Claims discussed: Claim 10 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Chervl J. Tvler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744 /Brandon M Rosati/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Paper No. 20100406

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Brandon Rosati contacted Ms. Julia Dierker (Applicant's Attorney) to discuss the response to the 112 2nd rejection in applicant's submitted After Final Amendment. Examiner Rosati said he was unclear as to how the collar, not the tube maintained the tank foot in place as shown in Figure 7. Ms. Dierker pointed out that when the collar is inverted as shown in Figure 3, the tube does maintain the tank foot in place. Ms. Dierker further stated that Figure 7 showed a separate embodiment which they were not persuing in this particular application. The Examiner agreed that the 112 2nd rejection should be withdrawn and that he would respond to the After Final Amendment.